Form C-164 Rev. 10/07

CONSTRUCTION VALUE ENGINEERING CHANGE PROPOSAL MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal oversight

even di se	是数量		Date	1/27/11
Contract ID	101022-701		Job No. J7P0797E(
County Ver	non	Route 71	Original Bid Cost \$7	And the grant and the same and the same of
Contractor	APAC-Missouri, Inc.		By David Wilkins	
	APAC-Missouri, Inc.		Phone 417-868-6700)
VECP - 1. Description	n of existing requiremen	nts and proposed char	oge(s). Advantages/Disa	dvantages
off ramps (2 to mind area", in place asphalt I Note: No engi engineering is	cations per interchange lieu of replacing them. Dack in an area that declaring fees were included in the control of the contro	e). My proposal is to It doesn't make sensesn't get that much tra ded in the above proposerm the engineering a clusively retain aspha	along Rt. 71 to construst as are located past the ruse the existing shoulde to me why we would to affic. osal. We are requesting at no cost to APAC-Miss It bonuses and deducts.	amp gore area on the r as the "change of ear out asphalt, to that if any ouri, Inc or this We are submitting
time and thus i	e same purpose at a low minimizing disruption (ri, Inc. does not foresec	er cost to the taxpayer to the traveling public any disadvantages to	the above proposal.	faster construction
		in the second se	je v Kraja. Haji	
ئى دائوللىي سىسىدىيى دى. ئەربۇس				
	reduction in constructi		on of the box had	
o. rreuletion (ot any effects the propose and operations.	sed change(s) will hav	e on other department o	osts, such as
The state of the s	ouri, Inc. foresees no a	dditional ages to 146	NOOTE TO SEE THE SECOND	en e
Adapta (D. 1977)		age described to the late		in the second of
			ing about the second control sage of	in the state of t
1965anai 1.	And the second of the second of	agra always from the	en 40 and Spije of a Shakarayaya 1	rania. Rango ang kanalang panggan
	Call Mar Bell and Carrier and Andrews C			
I. Anticipated	date for submitted of A	ctailed change(s) of ite	ems required by Section	104.6 of the
Specification	ns. 2			The same in the little of the
e Alima d		Detailed breakdown is a		
	; en Nacumena	(date)	anacheo	。 "美國教教師論如真的
alue Engineering Proposel, i	KA	,		

		dule.		
February 15, 20	011 N	o change to completion	date or cohodule	
(date)	20 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	o diminge to completion	(cifect)	₹ \$
(4000)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i th difference	(cirect)	$(\mathcal{A}_{ij}, \mathcal{A}_{ij}, \mathcal{A}_{ij$
. Dates of any pre		ent submission of the sa		ante establica de la companya de la La companya de la co
1a		VA *** AV	.0.45	
2 Agg #844		(date and/or date	s) Wy fajw ofwarense	egus egyes es éte somment avezet pour e
dditional Comme	r ^e or property do si e or en en en en en en en en et s: rec'heres, _{en e} n en	i dit garafa izlikkarati ye Pegili oʻra essira distriktod Ala eliyonga ili ak kayonga	oversament geskelen a Nagonijski se EXA Nagonijski se veneski	MINED AND APPROVED 3/30/
		TECHNOLOGICA STATES STA	Fed	Pral Highway Administra
44 4 7 7 78 4 7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	THE COME THE RESIDENCE AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PARTY AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PARTY AND ADMINISTRATION OF T	alem by " <u>"1871</u> a 1991 - Paristope "E"	CAMOUD 76 /AF
Angelon Control of the Marie Control				HARLEY BAR OF THE STATE OF THE
	** Portion Be	low This Line To Be Fi	led Out by MoD	OT **
is a good	adies mai	many the Existing	d zueniger	for this application
the limited Place is a this VE p	idea. The	existing shouldes	structure s	hould be adequate for living the Shoulder in commend approval of
the limited Place is a this VE p	use this a best use proposal.	existing shoulder rea is likely to be actice for this situation.	structure s corposed. Uti ation. I re	living the Shoulder in commend approved of 2/4/11
the limited Place is a this VE p	use this a best use proposal.	existing shouldes	structure s corposed. Uti ation. I re	hould be adequate for
Place is a this VE p	use this a best use proposal. Submi	existing shoulder the interest of the series	structure s exposed. Uti ation. I re er	hould be adequate for living the Shoulder in commend approved of 2/4/11 Date
Place is a this VE p mments: The ne location	use this a best use proposal. Submitted as direction as directions.	existing shoulder the service for this situated By Resident Engine at the By the Estad By the Es	structure s exposed. Ut; ation. I re er er er er er er	hould be adequate for living the Shoulder in commend approved of 2/4/11 Date The shoulder at If the core sample.
Place is a this VE p mments: The ne location souths in a	vse this a best use proposal. Submitted as direct minimum.	existing shoulder rea is likely to be actice for this situated By Resident Engine at the By the Emme of Ginches	structure s exposed. Uti extion. I re er er er er er er thicker	thould be adequate for living the Shoulder in scommend approval of 2/4/11 Date The shoulder at If the core sample. Seness of
place is a this VE p mments: The ne location esults in a sphaltic b a allowed	contractor minimus	existing shoulder the series for this situation By Resident Engine the By The Employee of Glacket povement, the state of t	exposed. Utilization. I released to core originary. er thick existing the care	thould be adequate for living the Shoulder in commend approval of 2/4/11 Date The shoulder at If the core sample chess of shoulder will thickness results
place is a this VE p mments: The ne location sults in a sphaltic b a allowed	contractor minimus	existing shoulder the series for this situation By Resident Engine the By The Employee of Glacket povement, the state of t	exposed. Utilization. I released to core originary. er thick existing the care	thould be adequate for living the Shoulder in scommend approval of 2/4/11 Date The shoulder at If the core sample. Seness of

Additional Comments:

** Portion Below This Line To Be Filled Out by MoDOT **

Cor	nments:		
		SBR PREMUS PAWE	
		SIE IL PRIMOS PIROSE	
		ı	
		Submitted By Resident Engineer	Date
Con	nments:		
		4.4	
		Stat Phenus PAGE	
	Approval		
	Recommended		
	Rejection Recommended	District Engineer	Date
Com	iments:	Shi PRINUS PARIS	
		SIMU MOUNTS (NON	
	Approval Recommended		
	Rejection	Federal Highway Administration	
Ш	Recommended	Federal Highway Administration Required for FHWA Full Oversight Projects	Date
Com	ments:		
Com	ments. APPRO	YED AS PHACUER DESIEN V.E.	AT 75/25594T
			- , . ,
		8 1000	
X	Approval	Marid M. Clara by 933	4-19-11
	Rejection	State Construction and Materials Engineer	Date

Distribution:

Resident Engineer, Project Manager, District Construction & Materials Engineer, State Construction & Materials Engineer, FHWA Value Engineering Administrator – MoDOT, P. O. Box 270, Jefferson City, MO 65102

VALUE ENGINEERING CHECK SHEET

TYPE OF WORK (Check one that applies)
 Bridge/Structure/Footings Drainage Structures (RCP, RCB, CMP's, ect.) TCP/MOT X Paving (PCCP, ect.) Grading/MSE Walls Signal/Lighting/ITS Misc
SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL (If needed, condense summary to a couple of lines)
Use in place existing shoulder in lieu of remove and replace.
SCANNING OF DOCUMENT

If the proposal is large, please mark or make note, which pages need to be scanned into the database. If

there are special instructions, make note of them here.

Scan entire document.